Exhibit C

to Hawkins Declaration

In Support of Motion for Sanctions Against TSI Concerning Employee A

Seaman et al. v. Nat'l Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2007-2 et al., No. 18-CV-1781 Bifulco et al. v. Nat'l Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2004-2 et al., No. 18-CV-7692

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
MUTINTA MICHELO, ET AL., PLAINTIFFS, vs. NATIONAL COLLEGIATE STUDENT LOAN TRUST 2007-2, ET AL., DEFENDANTS.))))) CASE NUMBER:) 18-CV-1781 (PGG))))
CHRISTINA BIFULCO, ET AL., PLAINTIFFS, VS. NATIONAL COLLEGIATE STUDENT LOAN TRUST 2004-2, ET AL., DEFENDANTS.)))) CASE NUMBER:) 18-CV-7692 (PGG)))

The following deposition upon written questions of Affiant X was taken pursuant to stipulations contained herein, the reading and signing of the deposition reserved, before Stephen Mahoney, Certified Court Reporter, 4921-4880-0199-0656, in the State of Georgia, on May 19, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.	
Stephen Mahoney, CVR, CCR	
2700 Centennial Tower 101 Marietta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303	

consent order, and two, the procedures you followed 1 2 and documents you reviewed when signing affidavits 3 on February 18th, 2014? 4 Α. I don't recall any of that. Ο. During your employment at TSI-NCO, did you ever stop signing affidavits? 6 7 Α. Yes. When did you stop signing affidavits? Ο. 8 June 2018. 9 Α. Why -- oh, I'm sorry. 10 Q. 11 Α. Around June 2018. Why did you stop signing affidavits? 12 Ο. 13 It was a couple of things. One being 14 workplace stress for myself, and we had a reorg 15 within our department, a reorganization. So I was 16 asked to move over to production -- affidavit 17 production, and I did. 18 That's why. And Defendants object to the form of 19 Q. 20 Question 27, including Subparts (a) and (b). 21 During your employment at TSI-NCO, did you 22 ever refuse to sign an affidavit? 23 And Defendants object to the form of 24 Question 28 and to the extent Question 28 calls for 25 information protected by the attorney-client

Case 1:18-cv-07692-PGG-BCM Document 296-3 Filed 03/30/22 Page 4 of 9 Affiant X May 19, 2021

Was the questioning done under oath? 1 Q. 2 Α. I don't recall. What were those questions and what answers 3 Ο. 4 did you give? I don't recall. 5 Α. Ο. When did that questioning take place? 6 7 Α. I don't recall. Where did that questioning take place? 0. 8 I don't recall. 9 Α. 10 Were you represented by an attorney? Q. 11 Α. No, I wasn't. Did you or your attorney receive a copy of 12 Ο. 13 that transcript of that questioning? 14 Α. No. 15 Prior to August 2nd, 2020, did you have 16 any physical problems that affected your ability to 17 work? Defendants object to the form of Question 18 35 and to the extent it calls for disclosure of 19 20 confidential and private information. 21 Α. No. 22 Ο. Prior to August 2nd, 2020, did you have 23 any memory problems that affected your ability to 24 work? 25 Α. No.

Case 1:18-cv-07692-PGG-BCM Document 296-3 Filed 03/30/22 Page 5 of 9 Affiant X May 19, 2021

```
(Exhibit 4 marked for
 1
                          identification.)
 2
     BY THE COURT REPORTER:
 3
 4
               Did you review this document prior to
 5
     August 2nd, 2020?
          Α.
               No.
 6
 7
               The question -- what was the question
 8
     again?
 9
               MR. SANDERS: There's no pending question.
     BY THE COURT REPORTER:
10
11
          Q.
               Yeah, there's no pending question.
               Oh, okay.
12
          Α.
13
          Ο.
               I was just letting you finish look at it.
14
          Α.
               Oh, okay.
15
          Ο.
               Please review Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5, which
16
     is ECF number 266 in Case number 18-cv-1781. And
17
     again, the first question is: Do you recognize this
18
     document? And you can take your time to look at it.
          Α.
19
               No.
                          (Exhibit 5 marked for
20
21
                          identification.)
22
     BY THE COURT REPORTER:
23
          Q.
               Once you're done with it, we're done with
24
     it.
25
               Okay. No.
          Α.
```

Case 1:18-cv-07692-PGG-BCM Document 296-3 Filed 03/30/22 Page 6 of 9 Affiant X May 19, 2021

Who is your attorney represent --1 Ο. 2 MR. SANDERS: Did you ask Part (b)? BY THE COURT REPORTER: 3 4 Q. Did you review --5 THE COURT REPORTER: Not yet. BY THE COURT REPORTER: 6 7 Did you review this document before it was Ο. filed with the court? 8 9 Α. No. 10 Q. Okay. 11 I'm just going to make sure I got 39 12 correct. 13 If we look back at Exhibit 4, did you 14 review this document prior to August 2nd, 2020? 15 It was one of the --16 Α. No. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Okay. We're done with that. 20 Α. Okay. 21 Who is your attorney representing you in this matter? 22 Paul Sanders. 23 Α. 24 When did you first meet your attorney? Q. 25 Defendants object to the form of Question

Case 1:18-cv-07692-PGG-BCM Document 296-3 Filed 03/30/22 Page 7 of 9 Affiant X May 19, 2021

1 MR. SANDERS: Do you want to take a break, 2 or do you want to get going? Do you want to 3 keep moving? 4 THE WITNESS: We can take one. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. MR. SANDERS: This is --6 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah. No problem. (A break was taken.) 8 9 BY THE COURT REPORTER: Prior to starting your job of reviewing 10 11 and signing affidavits, did you receive training on 12 the process for accessing the loan records? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Prior to starting your job of reviewing 15 and signing affidavits, did you receive training on 16 the importance of reviewing the loan records? 17 Not that I recall. 18 Ο. When you were reviewing and signing 19 affidavits, did you feel that you had sufficient 20 knowledge and understanding of the loan records? 21 Α. No. Did you ever sign an affidavit in support 22 Ο. 23 of a lawsuit brought on behalf of a National 24 Collegiate Student Loan Trust account that contained 25 any false statements?

1 (a) through (f). And Defendants object to the form of this 2 question including all subparts, and further 3 4 object to the extent this question to the extent it mischaracterizes the prior testimony. Objecting further, the questions and topics are 6 potentially subject to certain investigational 7 or confidential privilege. 8 That's it. 9 MR. SANDERS: Let's take a break because 10 11 -- go off. Or I mean, you can just -- we'll go off the record. I mean, you're going to a read 12 13 and sign; right? 14 (A break was taken.) 15 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're back on. 16 MR. SANDERS: I had a very brief 17 conversation with the witness. I read him 18 Question 3 of Defendants' Additional Questions. 19 I told him what I recall his answer to be, and he indicated that that was an inadvertent 20 21 error. And I ask that the court reporter read 22 Question 3 again. 23 BY THE COURT REPORTER: 2.4 Q. Okay. 25 So Question 3 from Defendants' Additional

1	Questions is: When you were reviewing and signing	
2	affidavits, did you feel that you had sufficient	
3	knowledge and understanding of the loan records?	
4	And Plaintiffs object to the form of the	
5	question.	
6	MR. SANDERS: Can you read it again?	
7	BY THE COURT REPORTER:	
8	Q. When you were reviewing and signing	
9	affidavits, did you feel that you had sufficient	
10	knowledge and understanding of the loan records?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.	
13	MR. SANDERS: All done.	
14	THE WITNESS: That's it?	
15	MR. SANDERS: That's it.	
16	6 (Deposition concluded at 11:02 a.m.)	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		